International Surgery

# Effect of internal fixation combined with inferior tibiofibular ligament repair on healing quality of ankle fracture with inferior tibiofibular joint injury

--Manuscript Draft--

| | |
|---|---|
| **Manuscript Number:** | INTSURG-D-24-00044 |
| **Full Title:** | Effect of internal fixation combined with inferior tibiofibular ligament repair on healing quality of ankle fracture with inferior tibiofibular joint injury |
| **Article Type:** | Original Article |
| **Keywords:** | Internal fixation; Anterior ligament repair; Ankle fracture; Tibiofibular joint injury |
| **Corresponding Author:** | Qiang Liu<br>: Orthopaedics PC<br>chongqing, CHINA |
| **Corresponding Author Secondary Information:** | |
| **Corresponding Author's Institution:** | : Orthopaedics PC |
| **Corresponding Author's Secondary Institution:** | |
| **First Author:** | Li Ye |
| **First Author Secondary Information:** | |
| **Order of Authors:** | Li Ye |
| | Lei Pei |
| | Qiang Liu |
| **Order of Authors Secondary Information:** | |
| **Abstract:** | OBEJECTIVE:To investigate the clinical effect of internal fixation combined with anterior ligament repair of lower tibiofibular syndesmosis in the treatment of ankle fracture with lower tibiofibular syndesmosis injury.METHODS:From November 2017 to December 2021, 94 patients with ankle fracture and lower tibiofibular syndesmosis injury were selected as the research objects. According to the random number table generated by statistical software, the patients with ankle fracture and lower tibiofibular syndesmosis injury were divided into combined group and control group. The patients in the combined group were treated with internal fixation combined with anterior ligament repair of lower tibiofibular syndesmosis, while the patients in the control group were treated with internal fixation combined with lower tibiofibular syndesmosis fixation. The operation time, bleeding volume, hospitalization time and fracture healing time of the two groups were compared. RESULTS:The operation time of the combined group was longer than that of the control group (P<0.05). The amount of bleeding, hospitalization time and fracture healing time of the two groups were compared and analyzed (P>0.05). CONCLUSION:Internal fixation combined with anterior ligament repair of lower tibiofibular syndesmosis in the treatment of ankle fracture with lower tibiofibular syndesmosis injury. |

# Effect of internal fixation combined with inferior tibiofibular ligament repair on healing quality of ankle fracture with inferior tibiofibular joint injury

OBEJECTIVE:To investigate the clinical effect of internal fixation combined with anterior ligament repair of lower tibiofibular syndesmosis in the treatment of ankle fracture with lower tibiofibular syndesmosis injury.METHODS:From November 2017 to December 2021, 94 patients with ankle fracture and lower tibiofibular syndesmosis injury were selected as the research objects. According to the random number table generated by statistical software, the patients with ankle fracture and lower tibiofibular syndesmosis injury were divided into combined group and control group, with 47 cases in each group. The patients in the combined group were treated with internal fixation combined with anterior ligament repair of lower tibiofibular syndesmosis, while the patients in the control group were treated with internal fixation combined with lower tibiofibular syndesmosis fixation. The operation time, bleeding volume, hospitalization time and fracture healing time of the two groups were compared. RESULTS:The operation time of the combined group was longer than that of the control group ($P<0.05$). The amount of bleeding, hospitalization time and fracture healing time of the two groups were compared and analyzed ($P>0.05$). The parameters of the lower tibiofibular space and the parameters of the lower tibiofibular overlap were compared between the combined group and the control group before operation, 3 months and 6 months after operation. The parameters of the lower tibiofibular space in the combined group were lower than those in the control group at 3 months and 6 months after operation, and the parameters of the lower tibiofibular overlap at 3 months after operation were higher than those in the control group ($P<0.05$). CONCLUSION:Internal fixation combined with anterior ligament repair of lower tibiofibular syndesmosis in the treatment of ankle fracture with lower tibiofibular syndesmosis injury. Although the operation time is prolonged, it has a considerable effect on improving the reduction effect, promoting the recovery of joint function and reducing surgical complications.

**Key Words :** Internal fixation; Anterior ligament repair; Ankle fracture; Tibiofibular joint injury

## INTRODUCTION

The ankle joint is an important load-bearing structure of the human body. Its stability depends not only on the bone structure, but also on the integrity of the surrounding ligament soft tissue[1 2]. The tibiofibular syndesmosis is an essential soft tissue structure around the ankle joint and plays an important role in maintaining ankle function[3 4]. Ankle fracture with lower tibiofibular syndesmosis injury is a special type of ankle fracture, which is mostly caused by indirect violent torsion, accounting for about 10% of ankle fracture. Among them, lower tibiofibular syndesmosis injury is often missed, which can cause ankle instability and increase the risk of chronic ankle pain and traumatic ankle arthritis[5 6].

At present, there are many methods for clinical treatment of ankle fracture with lower tibiofibular syndesmosis injury, each with advantages and disadvantages. Lower tibiofibular screw fixation is a common treatment method, which has the advantages of simple operation and high fixation strength[7 8]. However, cortical bone screw fixation may affect the degree of micromotion of the joint, and it is also prone to problems such as broken nails when placed in the body for too long[9 10]. The repair of anterior ligament of inferior tibiofibular syndesmosis can repair the injury of inferior tibiofibular syndesmosis, but it cannot resist strong external force in the early stage after operation[11 12]. Therefore, this study attempts to combine internal fixation with anterior ligament repair of lower tibiofibular syndesmosis to explore the clinical effect of internal fixation in the treatment of ankle fracture with lower tibiofibular syndesmosis injury.

## 1 Research object and method

### *1.1 Basic data of research object*

From November 2017 to December 2021, 94 patients with ankle fracture and lower tibiofibular syndesmosis injury were selected as the research objects. According to the random number table generated by statistical software, the patients with ankle fracture and lower tibiofibular syndesmosis injury were divided into combined group and control group, with 47 cases in each group. Comparing the basic data of the patients in the combined group and the control group, the results showed that the two groups had

good balance and comparability (P>0.05). Inclusion criteria: (1) The patient had a clear history of trauma and unilateral ankle fracture with lower tibiofibular joint injury. (2) Patients aged 19-75 years. (3) The patient was admitted to hospital within 48 hours after injury, and was diagnosed by X-ray, CT and other imaging examinations. (4) According to the Lauge-Hansen ankle fracture classification standard, the fracture classification was anterior external rotation type, IV degree rotation. (5) All patients were treated by the same group of medical staff in our hospital. (6) Fully communicate with patients and their families before surgery and complete the agreement. (7) Research methods were reviewed by medical ethics and implemented after the release of relevant documents.

Exclusion criteria: (1) Fractures caused by pathological causes (malignant tumors, tuberculosis). (2) Combined with immune system diseases. (3) Patients with vascular and nerve injury. (4) Mental illness or senile dementia, unable to complete the relevant communication. (5) History of drug abuse or drug dependence. (6) Previous neurological or muscle diseases, leading to lower limb dysfunction.

### *1.2 Surgical approach*

The patients in the combined group were treated with internal fixation combined with anterior tibiofibular ligament repair, epidural anesthesia, and lateral position surgery. The medial malleolus was taken as the medial approach, and the fibula approach was selected according to the height of the fracture line. The lateral fibula approach was taken when the height was 6 cm. The posterolateral approach was taken for the posterior malleolus. A small incision was made in front of the anterior tibiofibular ligament. Firstly, the fibula fracture was reduced and fixed with plate and screw. Reset the medial malleolus fracture, screw compression fixation. Suture and repair the anterior tibiofibular ligament under direct vision. The ankle bone was fixed with screws after reduction. The stability of lower tibiofibular joint was judged by hook test. Suture incision, pressure dressing.

The patients in the control group were treated with internal fixation combined with lower tibiofibular fixation. The anesthesia method and fracture end treatment were the

same as above. The lower tibiofibular bone was fixed with screws, and from the posterolateral fibula to the anteromedial tibia tilted 25-30 ° into 1-2 screws.

The ankle joints of both groups were fixed with plaster for 1 week after operation. After 6-8 weeks, partial weight-bearing exercise was started, and full weight-bearing exercise was carried out for 10-12 weeks.

### *1.3 Observation indicators and inspection methods*

The operation time, bleeding volume, hospitalization time, fracture healing time, ankle joint Kofoed score, lower tibiofibular space parameters, lower tibiofibular overlap parameters and incidence of surgical complications were compared between the two groups.

The ankle joint function recovery score of patients was evaluated by the ankle joint Kofoed scale[13], mainly from the patient 's pain perception (50 points), ankle joint function evaluation (30 points), ankle joint activity score (20 points) and other aspects. The higher the total score, the better the recovery of ankle joint function.

The parameters of lower tibiofibular space and lower tibiofibular overlap were measured by X-ray before operation, 3 months and 6 months after operation.

### *1.4 Statistical processing*

The data were processed by SPSS21.0. The measurement data of ankle pain, function, activity and total score of ankle joint Kofoed scale collected in this study were described by ( $\bar{\chi}\pm$ s) method. The comparison hypothesis test between the two groups of the above measurement data was performed by independent sample t test. The count data (gender composition ratio, combined disease) were described by the number of cases (percentage), and the statistical comparison hypothesis test method was $\chi 2$ test.

## 2 Results

### *2.1 Comparison of surgical and postoperative fracture healing indexes between the combined group and the control group*

The operation time of the combined group was longer than that of the control group

(P<0.05). The amount of bleeding, hospitalization time and fracture healing time of the two groups were statistically analyzed and compared (P>0.05). See Table 1.

Table 1 Comparison of surgical and postoperative fracture healing indexes between the combined group and the control group

| | Combined group | Control group | t | p |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Operation time(min) | 114.3±12.4 | 107.6±13.0 | 2.557 | 0.012 |
| Bleeding volume(mL) | 54.9±13.8 | 51.7±12.4 | 1.182 | 0.240 |
| Hospitalization time(d) | 9.6±2.2 | 9.1±2.4 | 1.053 | 0.295 |
| Fracture healing time(Week) | 12.9±1.4 | 13.2±1.8 | 0.902 | 0.369 |

### *2.2 Comparison of lower tibiofibular space parameters and lower tibiofibular overlap parameters between the combined group and the control group before and after surgery*

The parameters of lower tibiofibular space and lower tibiofibular overlap shadow were compared between the combined group and the control group before operation, 3 months after operation and 6 months after operation. The parameters of lower tibiofibular space in the combined group were lower than those in the control group at 3 months and 6 months after operation, and the parameters of lower tibiofibular overlap shadow at 3 months after operation were higher than those in the control group (P<0.05). See Table 2.

Table 2Comparison of lower tibiofibular space parameters and lower tibiofibular overlap parameters between the combined group and the control group before and after surgery

| | Time | Combined group | Control group | t | p |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Lower tibiofibular gap parameters | T1 | 7.59±1.60 | 7.81±1.74 | 0.638 | 0.525 |
| | T2 | 4.16±0.95 | 4.70±1.02 | 2.656 | 0.009 |
| | T3 | 4.20±0.88 | 4.86±0.94 | 3.514 | 0.001 |
| Lower tibiofibular overlap shadow | T1 | 3.89±1.26 | 4.10±1.15 | 0.844 | 0.401 |
| | T2 | 8.27±1.84 | 7.46±1.53 | 2.321 | 0.023 |

| | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| parameters | T3 | 8.40±1.93 | 7.82±1.80 | 1.507 | 0.135 |

Note:T1= Before operation, T2=3 months after operation, T3=6 months after operation

***2.3 Comparison of ankle joint Kofoed scale scores between the combined group and the control group***

The ankle joint pain, function, activity and total score of ankle joint Kofoed scale were compared between the combined group and the control group before operation, 3 months after operation and 6 months after operation. The scores of ankle joint pain, function and activity in the combined group were higher than those in the control group 3 months after operation. The total score of ankle joint Kofoed scale in the combined group was significantly higher than that in the control group 3 months and 6 months after operation ($P<0.05$).

***2.4 Comparison of surgical complications between the combined group and the control group***

The complications of the two groups were observed. There were 4 cases in the combined group and 12 cases in the control group. The complication rate of the two groups was compared. The combined group was lower than the control group and had obvious difference ($P<0.05$).

**3.Discussion**

This study found that the operation time of the combined group was longer than that of the control group. The amount of bleeding, hospitalization time and fracture healing time were compared between the two groups. The parameters of lower tibiofibular space and lower tibiofibular overlap in the combined group were lower than those in the control group at 3 months after operation. This result suggests that although the operation time of internal fixation combined with anterior tibiofibular ligament repair in the treatment of ankle fracture with tibiofibular syndesmosis injury is prolonged, it can achieve better reduction effect and reduce tibiofibular separation. This is because the repair of the anterior tibiofibular ligament after the reduction and fixation of the

bony structure can resist the strength of the external rotation force, maintain the ankle space, and maintain the stability of the ankle joint in the external rotation position[14].

This study also found that the total score of ankle joint Kofoed scale in the combined group was considerably higher than that in the control group at 3 months and 6 months after operation. The above results suggest that internal fixation combined with anterior ligament repair of lower tibiofibular syndesmosis in the treatment of ankle fracture with lower tibiofibular syndesmosis injury can promote the recovery of ankle function. Surgical internal fixation can restore the anatomical structure of the lateral malleolus after the treatment of bone structure injury, correct the axial rotation, and maintain the physiological function of ligament composition after ligament repair, which is conducive to the early rehabilitation exercise of patients after operation, and can promote the blood circulation of the fracture end and accelerate the fracture healing[15]. This result suggests that internal fixation combined with anterior ligament repair of the lower tibiofibular syndesmosis in the treatment of ankle fracture with lower tibiofibular syndesmosis injury can reduce the risk of surgical complications such as delayed fracture healing, incision infection, urinary system infection, and postoperative joint stiffness. This is because the anterior tibiofibular ligament repair can better maintain the stability of the ankle joint and increase local blood perfusion, which is conducive to fracture healing. Patients can get out of bed early after operation, which helps to improve the immune function of patients, enhance their own disease resistance, and reduce the risk of infectious complications. Early postoperative rehabilitation exercise is also beneficial to the recovery of joint function and prevent postoperative joint stiffness[16].

In summary, although the operation time of internal fixation combined with anterior tibiofibular ligament repair for ankle fracture with tibiofibular syndesmosis injury is prolonged, it has an evident effect on improving reduction effect, promoting joint function recovery and reducing surgical complications.

**Conflict of interest statement**

The authors declare that this research was conducted in the absence of any business or financial relationships that could be construed as potential conflicts of interest.

**Data Availability**

The datasets generated and analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

**Acknowledgements**

Not application.

**References**

1. Mococain P, Bejarano-Pineda L, Glisson R, et al. Biomechanical Effect on Joint Stability of Including Deltoid Ligament Repair in an Ankle Fracture Soft Tissue Injury Model With Deltoid and Syndesmotic Disruption. *Foot Ankle Int* 2020;41(9):1158-64. doi: 10.1177/1071100720929007 [published Online First: 2020/06/18]
2. Mosca M, Buda R, Ceccarelli F, et al. Ankle joint re-balancing in the management of ankle fracture malunion using fibular lengthening: prospective clinical-radiological results at mid-term follow-up. *Int Orthop* 2021;45(2):411-17. doi: 10.1007/s00264-020-04690-y [published Online First: 2020/07/10]
3. St George SA, Sadr H, Angthong C, et al. Variability in the Reporting Terminology of Adverse Events and Complications in Ankle Fracture Fixation: A Systematic Review. *Foot Ankle Int* 2020;41(2):170-76. doi: 10.1177/1071100719879930 [published Online First: 2019/10/08]
4. Teuber H, Rauer T, Pape HC, et al. Nonunion After an Open Trimalleolar Ankle Fracture: An Extended Clinical Course and a Novel Approach to Tibio-Talo-Calcaneal Arthrodesis. *J Foot Ankle Surg* 2021;60(2):378-81. doi: 10.1053/j.jfas.2020.03.025 [published Online First: 2021/01/10]
5. Zuo GL, Wang F, Qi YB, et al. S-Type Posterolateral Approach for Open Reduction and Internal Fixation of Pronation External Rotation Ankle Fractures: Improved Visualisation of the Posterior Joint and the Lateral Malleolus. *J Foot Ankle Surg* 2021;60(2):421-23. doi: 10.1053/j.jfas.2020.06.019 [published Online First: 2021/01/06]
6. Ankle Fracture Fixation with Use of WALANT (Wide Awake Local Anesthesia with No Tourniquet) Technique: An Attractive Alternative for the Austere

Environment. *J Bone Joint Surg Am* 2021;103(5):397-404. doi: 10.2106/jbjs.20.00196 [published Online First: 2021/01/14]

7. Vosoughi AR, Dashtdar B, Emami MJ, et al. Simultaneous Pantalar Dislocation and Bimalleolar Ankle Fracture. *J Am Podiatr Med Assoc* 2020;110(3) doi: 10.7547/18-200 [published Online First: 2020/07/31]
8. Furman BD, Zeitlin JH, Buchanan MW, et al. Immune cell profiling in the joint following human and murine articular fracture. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage* 2021;29(6):915-23. doi: 10.1016/j.joca.2021.02.565 [published Online First: 2021/03/01]
9. Kushare IV, Elabd A, Attia E. Missed Fracture Dislocation of the Fifth Toe in a Two-Year-Old Child: A Case Report. *J Foot Ankle Surg* 2021;60(1):172-75. doi: 10.1053/j.jfas.2020.06.021 [published Online First: 2020/11/22]
10. Li Y, Li W, Li S, et al. Isolated Shear Fracture of the Metatarsal Head in Lesser Toes Treated With ORIF: Case Series. *Foot Ankle Int* 2021;42(1):46-54. doi: 10.1177/1071100720952794 [published Online First: 2020/09/29]
11. Oki S, Kobayashi H, Kubota H, et al. A Pilon Fracture With Fibular Head Dislocation Treated With the Use of 3D Preoperative Planning: A Case Report and Literature Review. *J Foot Ankle Surg* 2021;60(2):404-07. doi: 10.1053/j.jfas.2020.09.014 [published Online First: 2021/01/12]
12. Akoh CC, Chen J, Kadakia R, et al. Adverse events involving hallux metatarsophalangeal joint implants: Analysis of the United States Food and Drug Administration data from 2010 to 2018. *Foot Ankle Surg* 2021;27(4):381-88. doi: 10.1016/j.fas.2020.05.002 [published Online First: 2020/06/09]
13. Kofoed H. Ankle replacement revision. *Foot Ankle Surg* 2013;19(2):69. doi: 10.1016/j.fas.2012.11.010 [published Online First: 2013/04/04]
14. Guo C, Xu Y, Li C, et al. Comparing less invasive plate fixation versus screw fixation of displaced intra-articular calcaneus fracture via sinus tarsi approach. *Int Orthop* 2021;45(9):2231-37. doi: 10.1007/s00264-020-04867-5 [published Online First: 2020/11/05]
15. Canton SP, Gale T, Onyeukwu C, et al. Syndesmosis Repair Affects in Vivo Distal

Interosseous Tibiofibular Ligament Elongation Under Static Loads and During Dynamic Activities. *J Bone Joint Surg Am* 2021;103(20):1927-36. doi: 10.2106/jbjs.20.01787 [published Online First: 2021/05/28]

16. Tano A, Nimura A, Tsutsumi M, et al. Anatomical Study of the Interosseous Ligament of the Tibiofibular Syndesmosis: An Analysis of Osseous Morphology and Attaching Interposing Structures. *J Bone Joint Surg Am* 2021;103(10):905-12. doi: 10.2106/jbjs.20.01545 [published Online First: 2021/05/14]

# Effect of internal fixation combined with inferior tibiofibular ligament repair on healing quality of ankle fracture with inferior tibiofibular joint injury

Li Ye[1], Lei Pei[2], Qiang Liu[3]

[1]Department of Sports Medicine, Naval Hospity of Eastern Theater,Zhoushan 316000, Zhejiang,China.

[2]Department of emergency, Shuguang Hospital Affiliated with Shanghai University of Traditional Chinese Medicine,Shuguang 200120,Shanghai,China.

[3]Department of Foot and ankle surgery,Chongqing Orthopedic Hospital of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Yuzhong, 400043 Chongqing,China

Li Ye and Lei Pei contributed equally to the work.

[*]Correspondence to: Qiang Liu, Department of Foot and ankle surgery,Chongqing Orthopedic Hospital of Traditional Chinese Medicine, No.19 Fuhua Road, Yuzhong, 400043 Chongqing,China.

Email: lq30136506@163.com.